

UGC CARE LISTED
ISSN No.2394-5990

संशोधक

• वर्ष : ९० • मार्च २०२२ पुरवणी विशेषांक



इतिहासाचार्य वि. का.राजवाडे संशोधन मंडळ, धुळे



UGC CARE LISTED
ISSN No. 2394-5990

इतिहासाचार्य वि. का. राजवाडे संशोधन मंडळ, धुळे
या संस्थेचे त्रैमासिक
॥ संशोधक ॥

पुरवणी अंक - मार्च २०२२ (त्रैमासिक)

● शके १९४४ ● वर्ष : ९० ● पुरवणीअंक : ४

संपादक मंडळ

● प्राचार्य डॉ.सर्जेराव भामरे ● प्रा.डॉ.मृदुला वर्मा ● प्रा.श्रीपाद नांदेडकर
अतिथी संपादक :

※ प्रकाशक ※

श्री. संजय मुंदडा

कार्याध्यक्ष, इ.वि.का. राजवाडे संशोधन मंडळ, धुळे ४२४००१.
दूरध्वनी (०२५६२) २३३८४८, ९४०४५७७०२०

कार्यालयीन वेळ

सकाळी ९.३० ते १.००, सायंकाळी ४.३० ते ८.०० (रविवार सुटी)

मूल्य ₹ १००/-

वार्षिक वर्गणी ₹ ५००/-; आजीव वर्गणी ₹ ५०००/- (१४ वर्षे)

विशेष सूचना : संशोधक त्रैमासिकाची वर्गणी चेक/ड्राफ्ट ने
'संशोधक त्रैमासिक राजवाडे मंडळ, धुळे' या नावाने पाठवावी.

अक्षरजुळवणी : अनिल साठये, बावधन, पुणे २१.

महाराष्ट्र राज्य साहित्य आणि संस्कृती मंडळाने या नियतकालिकेच्या प्रकाशनार्थ अनुदान दिले आहे. या
नियतकालिकेतील लेखकांच्या विचारांशी मंडळ व शासन सहमत असेलच असे नाही.



८६	Obscenity in Telugu books: Reformers, Censorship and Telugu Nationalism in Colonial Andhra (1900-20) - Dr.Choppara Sumanthraj, Kalaburagi, Karnataka-	३५१
८७	Contribution Of Dr. Ambedkar Towards The Social, Economic And Education Empowerment Of Women In India - Prof. Deepak Vede, Pune. -----	३५७
८८	THOUGHTS OF DR AMBEDKAR FOR THE MODERN INDIA -Dr. Sambhaji Darade, Newasa, Dist.Ahmednagar. -----	३६३
८९	Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's contribution in Indian parliamentary democracy - Dr.N. N. Ugale, Junnar, Pune -----	३६६
९०	The contribution of Mahatma Jyotiba Phule in Socio-Political and Religious reforms: a Review - Dr. Premchand Gaikwad, Madha, Dist.Solapur-----	३७१
९१	Dr. B.R. Ambedkar: Financial Views on Indian Economy - 1) Dr.Satish Ghadge; 2) Mr.Sankalp Barbole, Madha, Dist. Solapur -----	३७५
९२	Reformative Measures And Resourcefulness In Accomplishing Social Justice And Resilience To Uplift Women's Position -Dr. Sonali Gaikwad, Solapur ---	३७८
९३	Mahatma Phule's Views on Indian Agricultural System - Dr.Jayant Palkar, Madha, Dist.Solapur -----	३८३
९४	Social Reformer : Mahatma Phule - Dr.Nisha Gosavi, Pune -----	३८६
९५	Mahatma Phule's Thoughts on Agriculture - Dr. Parag Kadam, ??? - -----	३८८
९६	Analysis of Women Crisis and its Discourse in Ambedkar's Writing and Speeches - Dr. Umesh Kamble, Newasa, Dist.Ahmednagar -----	३९२
९७	Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar and Hindu Code Bill -Dr. Vandana Kavitate, Madha, Dist.Solapur -----	३९५
९८	Dr.b.r.ambedkar : Women Problems And Women Empowerment - Prof. Vidya Gaikwad, Indapur, Dist.Pune -----	३९८
९९	Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Thoughts on Pakistan and Kashmir - Prof.Gautam Rajguru, Karjat, Dist.Ahmednagar -----	४०५
१००	Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar and Women Empowerment in India -Prof.Gayatri Mahanta, Tinsukhiya, Assam -----	४०८
१०१	Dr. Babasheb Ambedkar And Social Reformation - Prof. Ramesh Ghegadmal, Wadala, Mumbai -----	४१२
१०२	The Agroeconomic Thoughts Of Mahatma Jotirao Phule And Its Relevance - 1)Dr. Chandrakant Chavan, 2)Harshal Shinde, Solapur -----	४१७
१०३	Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar's Analysis of Caste System - Dr.Jagdish Sonavane, Newasa, Dist.Ahmednagar -----	४२२
१०४	Mahatma Phule as an Author - Dr. Navnath More, Pune -----	४२७
१०५	Anatomization of Irrigation Intensity : A Study of Latur District - 1)Dr. O.V.Shahapurkar; 2)Ms.Balika Gaikwad, Latur -----	४३२
१०६	Matahma Phule's Thoughts On Education And Its Relevance In The Present Context: A Study - 1)Prof.Narnaware; 2)Dr.Pradnya Kamdi, Mowad, Nagpur -	४३५
१०७	B. R. Ambedkar and the question of the Dalits: Struggle with a difference - Dr. Nishtha Shrivastva, New Delhi -----	४३९

**Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's Analysis of Caste System**

Dr. Sonawane Jagdish Chhaburao,

Associate Professor in History,

Arts, Commerce and Science College Sonai, Tal. Newasa, Dist. Ahmednagar. 414603.

Mobile No. 9423210260, 7249027125 Email : sonawanejagdish31@gmail.com

Abstract :

The caste was the prime basis of social organization in pre-colonial India. The caste system is the basic nature of Hinduism and prevailing throughout the ages in Indian history. It is beneficial to upper castes by conferring privileges upon them, and at the same time it is very oppressive to lower castes and especially to untouchables as it took away all kinds of human rights from them. Dr. Ambedkar analyzed the caste system thoroughly, for him, caste was not just a social hierarchy but was linked to issues of domination and subordination. He defined caste as an endogamous social group; the emergence of caste was the result of the superimposition of endogamy over exogamy. He has proved that caste is an important barrier to the economic progress as it does not allow the man to do the work of his own interest. He has shown that the caste operates as economic system of surplus appropriation based on the principle of graded inequality and exploitation. He states that caste system is a not merely division of labor but it is also division of Labourers.

The Indian Society and especially Hinduism is characterized by the very existence of Caste system, which is its unique feature and found not anywhere but only in Hinduism in India. The social organization was based on the caste system in India and even today caste system is not eradicated and so existing in the society. The caste system is the basic nature of Hinduism and prevailing throughout the ages in Indian history. It is beneficial to upper castes by conferring privileges upon them, and at the same time it is very oppressive to lower castes and especially to untouchables as it took away all kinds of human rights from them. They were made just for providing services to upper castes without any gain. They were never treated on the lines of

humanity. They were deprived of all the natural human rights; the animals could enter in the temples and untouchables were regarded as so impure that they could not enter the temples. The evil effects of the caste system do not merely suppress the untouchables (Dalits) and lower caste people, but due to persistence of the caste system, India as a nation cannot progress by any means as a whole.

The question of the caste is not addressed properly by colonial as well as nationalist historians, because colonial historians got the knowledge of caste system from the Sanskrit books written by the Brahmins. And there was not any hope from the Brahmin historians to question the legacy of their holy books, as it may cause the loss of privileges offered by these books to them through the caste system. No wonder Ambedkar noted that the colonial and nationalist emphasis on the Indo-European roots to Vedic culture only limited the compass of historical discourse. Instead, he sought a more robust and thorough critique of periodization, sources and methodology deployed in studying Indian history.

Mahatma Jyotiba Phule was the first to look into this important issue seriously with his perception of the colonialism and the caste system. He had understood that the upper caste Hindus had established their dominance with oppression and consent, more than force, they used ideological weapon of religious texts to instruct the lower castes and thus achieve their enslavement. He realized the fact that the upper castes were the largest beneficiaries of the colonialism and so criticized the British Government for looking the Indian situation through the eyes of treacherous Brahmins. He was conscious of the collusive and conspiratorial relationship between the internal colonialism i. e.



caste and external colonialism i. e. the British and so he strongly criticized the British for accomplishing the upper caste desires. He believed that feudalism and capitalism in India were fused into caste-class mode of Brahminism. He showed the exploitative nature of caste system and its presence in the colonial rule causing the exploitation and degradation of the non-Brahmins, women and dalits. He pointed out that the Brahmanical knowledge of history is responsible for safeguarding of caste and patriarchy and producing shudra-atishudra as docile subjects. According to him, the Brahmanical system enslaved and subdued lower caste and untouchables by engraving false identities of caste to them. He articulated the predicted that when true history would unravel the trickery of Brahmin caste towards the downtrodden people and their history of glorious struggle against oppressive, unjust caste system; and then they would revolt against it. They would demolish Brahmanical hegemony and caste system. He innovatively employed Vico's method of asserting facts through using etymology, mythology and analysis of traditions, and thus unfolded social strife between Aryan Brahmins and Non-Aryan shudra-atishudras. He thus showed the evil effects of the caste system. He espoused the cause of historical materialism in India: 'History of India is history of caste struggle.'

Caste system is deeply studied by Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar who having been studied in America and England, well versed with historical methods; carried further the legacy of Mahatma Phule and Satyashodhak-Non-Brahmin movement. His strength as historian is reflected in his writings on social history of India. He employed scientific method to disclose the history of caste. He confronted strongly with the hegemonic design based on positivist method of colonial and national historians. By making several innovations he developed new conceptual and methodological tools of writing social history of caste. But Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar even today has to face negligence by the so-called intelligentsia; according to Dr. Sharmila

Rege, his studious writings like "Castes in India" had to face negligence from sociologists and in a similar vein; there is a near-complete absence of an engagement with Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's writings on ancient India by historians.

According to Romila Thapar, for him, caste was not just a social hierarchy but was linked to issues of domination and subordination. He defined caste as an endogamous social group; the emergence of caste was the result of the superimposition of endogamy over exogamy. He has proved that caste is an important barrier to the economic progress as it does not allow the man to do the work of his own interest. He has shown that the caste operates as economic system of surplus appropriation based on the principle of graded inequality and exploitation. He states that caste system is a not merely division of labor but it is also division of Labourers. Regarding the division of Labourers, he stated that Caste divides Labourers, it dissociates work from interests, it disconnects intelligence from manual labour, it devitalizes by denying to him the right to cultivate vital interests and it prevents mobilization and thus proved to be an obstacle in the economic progress of the individual as well as that of the nation. He identifies caste as a system of graded inequality and exploitation which is very important conceptual tool to locate socio-economic mechanism of caste. The religious apparatus of Brahminism gives graded inequality a ritualistic structural basis. He explained that the principle of commensality also governs inter-caste relations and hierarchy. The principle of graded inequality also works in economic field as Hindu social order gives most and best to the upper castes and gives least and low to the lower castes.

In the process of scientific history writing, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar emphasized on the objectivity as an essential prerequisite, which only could unfold the socio-cultural history of India. But at the same time he was fully aware of the fact that in a caste-based society, objectivity cannot withstand. The colonial as well as the nationalist historiographies



are based on the Sanskrit texts, obviously written by the Brahmin scholars. According to him, 'Brahmin subjectivity was so conditioned that it could not critically engage with Sanskrit scriptures and therefore was incapable of ascertaining historical truth'. He stated, "Brahmins are severely limited by the anxiety to preserve their interests. The subjective position of the Brahmin does not allow his intellect full play with honesty and integrity. The Brahmin scholar's search is not for accomplishing historical truth but is intended to maintain sanctity of Sanskrit scriptures." He blamed the Brahmins for the role they played in provoking religious sanctity to the varna-jatisystem. The Brahmins played role in the degradation of shudras and untouchables. By knowing the prejudiced stand of Brahmin historians to uphold social order prescribed in their scriptures, he took up the inquiry of the origin and growth of Varna-Caste system in evolutionary framework of history.

On the contrary to colonial and nationalist historians, he argued that caste is not a static system. According to him, the caste has been a growing institution and never been the same at all times; the shape and form of the caste was different at the time of Alberuni compare to that of Megasthenes. He showed that the conversion of Varnas into several castes is an evolution in the opposite directions; the Brahmins first became endogamous and caste system emerged as the process of emulation of Brahmins. At the same time he emphasized that India's remarkable homogeneity derives from the caste system because Brahmins are present throughout the subcontinent. He also recognized that the Brahmins could not have imposed the caste system; instead, he contended that this type of social organization came about by virtue of a belief in the superiority of the Brahmins and of the acquiescence by the other castes of their inferiority.

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar pointed to very important reality that the social conflict was the prime force of Indian history. He described many social contradictions which brought social upheaval

in Indian history. In first case, he argued in his book, 'Who Were the Shudras' that the struggle between Brahmin and Kshatriya led to the degradation of shudras from Kshatriya varna to fourth varna. Secondly, he depicted the background of tribal rivalry leading towards clash of interests between sedentary social groups and broken men, while locating genesis of the untouchability. In the third case, he showed a contradiction among caste groups like savarnas and avarnas; the untouchability is the contradiction between untouchables and Hindus. According to him, untouchables are not Hindus and Hindu does not accept them due to their mentality and so Hindu is great obstacle in removing untouchability. He writes, "The system of untouchability sustains the natural pride of Hindus and makes them feel as well as look big. This is an additional reason why the Hindus are not likely to give up untouchability particularly those large majorities who are small men."

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar also explains the linkages between caste and patriarchy and enslavement of women. He defined caste as endogamy, which ensured subjugation and enslavement of women by prohibiting women from marrying outside the caste. Women were denied freedom over their will and body. Thus the caste system instituted control over women's sexuality, which was put under control of the men of their family and caste. He also deals with the caste-gender complexities associated with dominance and slavery. He shows that slavery was practiced only in descending order means low castes can be slaves of high castes. By citing Narad Smruti, which says that slavery is analogous to condition of wife, he suggests the hierarchical unity of gender subordination with caste slavery. The inherent bonding between caste and gender is an important feature of caste hierarchy. He argues that in caste hierarchy legislated by Manusmriti, the low caste women were made sexually accessible to the high caste men. He further observed that Kshatriyas got provoked against legal injunctions claiming



superiority of Brahmins. One of these injunctions granted Brahmin privilege over the wife of Kshatriya. He thus explained the caste-gender relations studiously and pointed towards the suppression of women under the caste system. His exploration and interpretation of Indian social history and culture have immensely contributed to the evolution of philosophy and methodology of non-Brahmin historiography.

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar has studied the caste system thoroughly and showed its evil effects and thus argued strongly that the caste system is the biggest hurdle in the progress of the Hindus as a society as well as a nation in any field. According to him, the caste prevents solidarity of society as people have been living in separate groups, and each group hates another; the caste creates anti-social spirit among the Hindus. The higher-caste Hindus have deliberately prevented the lower castes from rising to the cultural level of the higher castes. He showed another evil effect of the caste system that the caste in the hands of orthodox has been a powerful weapon for resisting any kinds of reforms in it. He expressed his faith in his ideal society based on Liberty, Equality and Fraternity, which would be helpful to the society and nation by all means. So he urged the Hindus to annihilate the worst affecting Caste system, a barrier to the social reforms and to the progress of human being. He clearly warned them that anything built on the foundations of the caste system will crack and never be a whole. He also suggested rightly the ways of eradicating this evil system of caste; the immediate measure is stimulating inter-caste marriages but the real remedy is to destroy the belief in the sanctity of the Shastras, which teach them the religion of caste and direct them to maintain caste as far as possible and to undergo prayaschitta, (expiation) when they cannot. The real remedy given by Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar was not found ground in the minds of upper caste intelligentsia and all his attempt to reform the Hindu society were not supported by the higher caste Hindus and so this

was the basic reason why he left the Hinduism. Thus, we can conclude that Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar fought a serious battle against the evil practice of the caste system, which is the prime obstacle in the overall progress of the Indian Nation and thus played a vital role in the nation building as a revolutionary social reformer.

References :

- 1) Rege Sharmila, (Introduction and Selection), (2013), *Against the Madness of Manu: B. R. Ambedkar's Writings on Brahmanical Patriarchy*, Navayana Publications, New Delhi, p. 73.
- 2) Chavan Dilip, (2013), *Language Politics under Colonialism: Caste, Class and Language Pedagogy in Western India*, Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Newcastle Upton Tyne, U. K. p. 21.
- 3) Bagade Umesh, (2006), *Maharashatil Prabodhan ani Vargjatiprabhutwa*, Sugava Prakashan, Pune, p. 331.
- 4) Ibid, p. 333.
- 5) Patil Sharad, (1993), *Marxwad-Phule Ambedkarwad*, Sugava Prakashan, Pune, p. 84.
- 6) Rege Sharmila, (Introduction and Selection), (2013), *Against the Madness of Manu: B. R. Ambedkar's Writings on Brahmanical Patriarchy*, Navayana Publications, New Delhi, p. 72.
- 7) Ibid, p. 73.
- 8) Ambedkar B. R. in Moon Vasant (Ed.), (1979), *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol. 1*, Education Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 9.
- 9) Ambedkar B. R. in Narake Hari (ed.), (1987), *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol. 3, Second Edition*, (2008), Education Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 67.
- 10) Ambedkar B. R. in Moon Vasant (ed.), (1989), *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol. 5*, Education Department.



- 11) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 162.
- 12) Ibid, p. 111.
- 13) Bagade Umesh, (2015), Ambedkar's Historical Method, Critical Quest, New Delhi, p. 9.
- 14) Ambedkar B. R. in Moon Vasant (ed.), (1990), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings And Speeches, Vol 7, Education Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 240-41.
- 15) Gore M. S., (1993), The Social Context of an Ideology, Sage Publication, New Delhi, p. 273.
- 16) Ambedkar B. R. in Narake Hari (ed.), (1987), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol 3, Second Edition, (2008), Education Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 141.
- 17) Ambedkar B. R. in Moon Vasant (ed.), (1979), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol 1, Education Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 20.
- 18) Jaffrelot Christophe, (2005), Dr. Ambedkar and Untouchability: Analysing And Fighting Caste, Permanent Black, Delhi, Third Impression, P. 33.
- 19) Ambedkar B. R. in Moon Vasant (ed.), (1989), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol 5, Education Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 102.
- 20) Dhamale Kishor, (1998), "Dr. Ambedkar ani ABrahmini Strivadi Itihaslekhan", ABrahmini Strivadi Itihaslekhanachya Dishene, (ed.) Bhagwat, Pardeshi, Women Study Centre and Krantisinha Nana Patil Academy, Pune, p. 16-31.
- 21) Ambedkar B. R. in Narake Hari (ed.), (1987), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings And Speeches, Vol. 3, Second Edition, (2008), Education Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 107.
- 22) Pandit Nalini, (1996), Ambedkar, Granthali Prakashan, Mumbai, p. 116.
- 24) Bagade Umesh, (2015), Ambedkar's Historical Method, Critical Quest, New Delhi, p. 42.
- 25) Ambedkar B. R. in Moon Vasant (ed.), (1979), Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Writings and Speeches, Vol 1, Education Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai, p. 57.
- 26) Ibid, p. 66-74.

